TO: Board of Trustees

FROM: Dan Moser, Superintendent

- PREPARED BY: Marcus Battle, Associate Superintendent of Administration and Business Services; Donna Bertrand, C.P.M. Contracts Administration Coordinator; Janice Unger, Capital Projects Purchasing Manager
- SUBJECT: Approve the following recommendation for the "Pre-Approved Construction Management Firms (CM) List".

The East Side Union High School Administration is pleased to present to the Board of Trustees the following recommendation to expand the current CM pool. The original CM Pool was established at the March 10, 2009 Board Meeting where by four Construction Management firms were selected and approved. However, since that time it has been determined by Administration that having more CM's in the pool would benefit ESUHSD so as not to over burden any one firm at any one time.

After an extensive evaluation of the proposals submitted and oral interviews with each of the seven new proposers, the following listed firms are recommended to provide construction management services for the ESUHSD's Measure E and G Bond Construction and Capital Improvement Programs and any other projects that require such services. As projects come up all firms in the Pre-Approved CM Pool will be requested to submit a separate cost proposal and will be awarded projects based on their proposals.

- Blach Construction Company (Existing)
- Facilities Management Planning and Operations FMPO (Existing)
- SGI Construction Management Seville Group (Existing)
- O'Connor Construction Management (Existing)
- TBI Construction & Construction Management, Inc. (New)
- RGM and Associates (New)
- Van Pelt Construction Services (VPCS) (New)
- Cambridge CM. Inc. (New)
- Kitchell (New)
- United Compliance Corporation(New)

The District underwent a thorough Request for Statement of Qualification (RFQ) process (RFQ-03-11-12). The RFQ was released on August 04, 2011 and closed on August 18, 2011. The RFQ was advertised on August 08, 2011 and August 15, 2011. The District received eleven (11) responsive and responsible proposals.

The first step in the evaluation process was to evaluate each of the eleven proposals submitted to the District. The four (4) current (CM) firms were only asked to update the District of any change in their organization or information that they want to include. The current (CM) firms were not required to go through the evaluation process and I interviews. A committee was established and a rating sheet prepared for their evaluation of the written proposal. The committee consisted of Tom Herrington (Substitute Director of Facilities), Donna Bertrand, C.P.M. (Contract Administration Coordinator), Grettel Castro-Stanley (Principal), Mac Cage (Interim Director of Information Technology Services), Edward Bright, (Grounds Lead) and Janice Unger (Capital Projects Purchasing Manager).

Seven CM firms were then recommended to move forward to the oral interview based on the scores of the written proposal evaluation. The oral interviews were conducted with the same panel members. All CM firms were scored on their presentations and responses to District questions.

The third and final step in the evaluation process was to call the references. The references were asked to rate the past performances of the seven CM firms using a scale of 1-10.

All of the scores were added together to form the final recommendation that would be taken to the Board of Trustee, which is presented herein.